## Case 1:05-cv-00356-LJO-TAG Document 22 Filed 01/07/08 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CHARLES D. VILLACRES, 1:05-cv-00356 LJO-TAG (HC) Petitioner, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL VS. K.M. POWERS, (Doc. 21) Respondent. On December 7, 2007, Petitioner filed a motion for the appointment of counsel. (Doc. 21). There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See e.g., Anderson v. Heinze, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 358 U.S. 889 (1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773 (8th Cir.), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 823 (1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C.

§ 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases.

In the present case, the Court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's motion for the appointment of counsel is denied.

23

24

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: January 4, 2008 /s/ Theresa A. Goldner
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

26

27

28